

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/708,021 02/03/2004 Jonas Hogstrom BORL/0217.00 2020 7590 10/31/2007 28653 **EXAMINER** JOHN A. SMART CHEN, QING 708 BLOSSOM HILL RD., #201 LOS GATOS, CA 95032 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2191

MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

10/31/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/708,021	HOGSTROM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Qing Chen	2191		

	Qing Chen	2191				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.			
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hader 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, have reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a):					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-63</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the second of						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		in condition for allowa				
13.	MM	<i>~</i>				
C. Detail and Trademark Office	WEI SUPERVISORY F	ZHEN PATENT EXAMINER				
S. Patent and Trademark Office			ı			

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation Sheet (F10-303)

Continuation of 7(b): Applicant's proposed amendments to Claims 2-20, 22, 24-42, and 63 have sufficiently overcome the claim objections. Applicant's proposed amendments to Claim 46 have sufficiently overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejection. Applicant's proposed amendments to Claims 23-42 have sufficiently overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejections. However, the prior art rejections are still maintained.